IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.87 OF 2006

Mrs.Shahin @ Noori Begum Munawar
Iqbal Sherif .. Applicant

versus

Mrs.Munawar Iqbal Sheriff .. Respondent

...

Mr.Vijaylaxmi Nikam for the applicant.

Ms.Madhavi Tawanandi for respondent.

CORAM : D.G. KARNIK, J

DATED : 14th September 2006.

P.C:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This petition is filed by the petitioner who is the wife, for transfer of the matrimonial proceeding bearing no. PA 6851 OF 2005 filed by the respondent against her and pending in the Family Court at Pune, to the Family Court at Mumbai

3. Counsel for the petitiioner states that petitioner has a son aged one year. She cannot travel on every date along with her son from Mumbai to Pune for contesting the petition.

4. Learned Counsel for the respondent states that respondent has no objection for transfer of the petition but the hearing of the petition may be expedited. As regards expeditious hearing, the respondent can move the trial court for expeditious hearing pointing out the grounds for expeditious and hearing, out of turn. I have no doubt the court would decide the application in accordance with law.

5. In view of the fact that the respondent has no objection and considering the convenience of the petitioner who has a son aged one year to look after, the petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause-(b).

D.G. KARNIK, J.